

## Obama's Second Term Transformation Plans

[Rocky Mountain Black Tea Party](http://www.facebook.com/RMBTP) ·  
<http://www.facebook.com/RMBTP>

From our friend, Derrick ~ Founder, Rocky Mountain Black Tea Party\*\*\*\* RE-POSTED  
\*\*\*\* (this old newsletter is being re-posted as a result of a TERRIFYING conversation I  
just had. we cannot afford to be ignorant, my friends. if you were not yet on this page  
when this was originally written [about 60 days ago], you need to read & share...)  
\*\*I can't take credit for having written this entire newsletter as it was culled and  
compiled from a number of places. Call it a summary of what the conspiracy theorists  
are positive will happen in our country if Barack Obama is elected to a second term.  
Whether or not one subscribes to the notion is, of course, a matter of personal  
disposition. There can be no argument that we were given a pledge by this man that he  
wants to "transform America" by "changing the fundamentals of America". Where is all  
this headed? Anybody's guess, but this guess is probably as good as any.

### >Obama's Second Term Transformation Plans<

The 2012 election has often been described as the most pivotal since 1860. This  
statement is not hyperbole. **If Barack Obama is re-elected the United States will  
never be the same, nor will it be able to re-capture its once lofty status as the  
most dominant nation in the history of mankind.**

The overwhelming majority of Americans do not understand that Obama's first term was  
dedicated to putting in place executive power to enable him and the administration to  
fulfill the campaign promise of "transforming America " in his second term regardless of  
which political party controls Congress. That is why his re-election team is virtually  
ignoring the plight of incumbent or prospective Democrat Party office holders.

**The most significant accomplishment of Obama's first term is to make Congress  
irrelevant. Under the myopic and blindly loyal leadership of Harry Reid and  
Nancy Pelosi, the Democrats have succeeded in creating an imperial and, in a  
second term, a potential dictatorial presidency.**

During the first two years of the Obama administration when the Democrats  
overwhelmingly controlled both Houses of Congress and the media was in an Obama  
worshipping stupor, **a myriad of laws were passed and actions taken which  
transferred virtually unlimited power to the executive branch.**

The birth of multi-thousand page laws was not an aberration. This tactic was adopted so  
the bureaucracy controlled by Obama appointees would have sole discretion in  
interpreting vaguely written laws and enforcing thousands of pages of regulations they  
and not Congress would subsequently write.

For example, **in the 2,700 pages of ObamaCare there are more than 2,500 references to the Secretary of Health and Human Services.** There are more than 700 instances when he or she is instructed that they "shall" do something and more than 200 times when they "may" take at their sole discretion some form of regulatory action. On 139 occasions, the law mentions that the "Secretary determines." **In essence one person, appointed by and reporting to the president, will be in charge of the health care of 310 million Americans once ObamaCare is fully operational in 2014.**

**The same is true in the 2,319 pages of the Dodd-Frank Financial Reform Act which confers nearly unlimited power on various agencies to control by fiat the nation's financial, banking and investment sectors.** The bill also creates new agencies, such as the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, not subject to any oversight by Congress. **This overall process was repeated numerous times with other legislation all with the intent of granting unfettered power to the executive branch controlled by Barack Obama and his radical associates.**

Additionally, the Obama administration has, through its unilaterally determined rule-making and regulatory powers, created laws out of whole cloth. **The Environmental Protection Agency on a near daily basis issues new regulations clearly out of their purview in order to modify and change environmental laws previously passed and to impose a radical green agenda never approved by Congress. The same is true of the Energy and Interior Departments among many others.**

None of these extra-Constitutional actions has been challenged by Congress. The left in America knows this usurpation of power is nearly impossible to reverse unless stopped in its early stages.

**It is clearly the mindset of this administration and its appointees that Congress is basically a nuisance and can be ignored after they were able to take full advantage of the useful idiots in the Democrat controlled House and Senate in 2009-2010 and the Democrat Senate in the current Congress. Additionally, Barack Obama knows after his re-election a Republican-controlled House and Senate will not be able to enact any legislation to roll back the power previously granted to the Executive Branch or usurped by them. His veto will not be overridden as there will always be at least 145 Democrat members of the House or 34 in the Senate in agreement with or intimidated by an administration more than willing to use Chicago style political tactics.**

The stalemate between the Executive and Legislative Branches will inure to the benefit of Barack Obama and his fellow leftists.

The most significant power Congress has is the control of the purse-strings as all spending must be approved by them. However, **if re-elected, Barack Obama, as confirmed by his willingness to do or say anything and his unscrupulous re-election tactics, would not only threaten government shutdowns but would deliberately withhold payments to those dependent on government support as a means of intimidating and forcing a Republican controlled Congress to**

**surrender to his demands, thus neutering their ability to control the administration through spending constraints.**

**Further, this administration has shown contempt for the courts by ignoring various court orders, e.g. the Gulf of Mexico oil drilling moratorium, as well as stonewalling subpoenas and requests issued by Congress. The Eric Holder Justice Department has become the epitome of corruption as part of the most dishonest and deceitful administration in American history. In a second term the arrogance of Barack Obama and his minions will become more blatant as he will not have to be concerned with re-election.**

Who will be there to enforce the rule of law, a Supreme Court ruling or the Constitution? No one. Barack Obama & his fellow-travelers will be unchallenged as they run roughshod over the American people.

**Many Republicans and conservatives dissatisfied with the prospect of Mitt Romney as the nominee for president are instead focused on re-taking the House and Senate. That goal, while worthy and necessary, is meaningless unless Barack Obama is defeated. The nation is not dealing with a person of character and integrity but someone of single-minded purpose and overwhelming narcissism.**

Judging by his actions, words and deeds during his first term, he does not intend to work with Congress either Republican or Democrat in his second term but rather to force his radical agenda on the American people through the power he has usurped or been granted.

**The governmental structure of the United States was set up by the founders in the hope that over the years only those people of high moral character and integrity would assume the reins of power.** However, knowing that was not always possible, they dispersed power over three distinct and independent branches as a check on each other.

**What they could not imagine is the surrender and abdication of its constitutional duty by the preeminent governmental branch, the Congress, to a chief executive devoid of any character or integrity coupled with a judiciary essentially powerless to enforce the law when the chief executive ignores them**

**Conservatives, Libertarians, the Republican Party and Mitt Romney must come to grips with this moment in time and their historical role in denying Barack Obama and his minions their ultimate goal. All resources must be directed at that end-game and not merely controlling Congress and the various committee chairmanships.**

**We the American people, must come to grips with this moment in time and our role in denying Barack Obama his life-long goal of "transforming" us.**

To learn more, watch this YouTube video series: GO TO [TipOfTheSpear.net](http://TipOfTheSpear.net) CLICK ON **What's REALLY in ObamaCare + Alternatives** in the IMPORTANT LINKS WHITE BOX  
[http://www.tipofthespear.net/issues\\_health-care-vecchio.htm#vecchio](http://www.tipofthespear.net/issues_health-care-vecchio.htm#vecchio).

Also learn about [ObamaCare-Death-Panel-Formulas](#)

## **For my friends who have hesitation on supporting Mitt Romney ~ FOR WHATEVER REASON ~ I'd just ask you to keep four things in mind**

HERE'S SOMETHING TO CONSIDER:

For my friends who have hesitation on supporting Mitt Romney ~ FOR WHATEVER REASON ~ I'd just ask you to keep four things in mind:

- 1.. Justice Scalia just turned 78
- 2.. Justice Kennedy will turn 78 later this year
- 3.. Justice Breyer will be 76 in August
- 4.. Justice Ginsburg turned 81 about a week ago.

In addition, Justice Ginsburg has Pancreatic Cancer.

Justice Stephens has already said he would retire and is just waiting for Obama to be reelected.

The next president could appoint as many as 4 new Justices over next 8 years. This election is about more than ObamaCare Tax.

We wish them all well, of course, but the brute fact is that whoever we elect as president in November is almost certainly going to choose at least one new member of the Supreme Court, in addition to hundreds of other life-tenured federal judges, all of whom will be making momentous decisions about our lives for decades to come.

If you don't think it matters whether the guy making those calls is Mitt Romney or Barack Obama, I think you're smokin' something funky.

**So for anybody who is thinking of not voting because your favorite didn't get nominated, or writing in a candidate who can't win, imagine this:**

**SUPREME COURT JUSTICE ERIC HOLDER?**

**After you have stopped gasping, kindly forward this to your list of concerned citizens.....**

## **Pro-Mitt Argument of the Day**

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/294252/pro-mitt-argument-day-andrew-c-mccarthy#>

By [Andrew C. McCarthy](#)

March 23, 2012 8:54 A.M.

... comes from our loyal reader and commenter, Colonel Travis. In response to my [post](#) last evening on the Obamacare “tax or penalty” issue, he [writes](#):

Like a lot of others, I suspect if Obamacare is declared unconstitutional it will be a 5-4 decision. But I have to say I'll be really disappointed if it is 5-4 because of the absolutely ridiculous amount of power those 4 idiots will have said Congress could have over the citizenry. There's gotta be at least one moron leftist judge who says – this is simply too much.

This is why I can't stand things like Santorum who said electing Romney will be like electing Obama again. What an idiot. There is no way Romney would nominate a legal doofus like Sotomayor. If we lose the presidency I don't think people really understand how royally \*bleeped\*-up America will be if three more Sotomayors get on the Supreme Court.

Now Rick Santorum is very far from being an idiot, but what he said in this instance was idiocy of near Etch A Sketch dimensions. We are going to have our issues with Mitt. He and his campaign are gaffe-prone — although I'm pretty sure he knows the difference between a corpsman and a corpse-man. More to the point, he will often have to be pushed in a more conservative direction — Dan Henninger diagnosed this with characteristic brilliance several months ago, Rush has pointed out that the primary campaign appears to have had exactly this effect, and to hear Governor Romney speak after he won Illinois the other night was to conclude that this all is to the good.

**But whatever you think of Romney, whatever understandable suspicions you have about his conservative bona fides, we can't lose our perspective here: He is so much better than President Obama that it is like comparing last year's Green Bay Packers (a playoff team with some glaring flaws) to the '76 Tampa Bay Bucs (an unmitigated disaster).** At times when the intensity and sleepless nights of a tough campaign prevent Rick from seeing that, he needs to lie down and get a grip.

**Mitt is not the ideal candidate (no one in the GOP field is), but he's got Bob Bork advising him on judges and John Bolton advising him on foreign policy.** You think you're gonna get originalist judges and Bolton grit from Obama? And I'm as worried as anyone about whether Romney and the GOP establishment are committed to do something consequential about what could be our death-spiral of spending and debt; but I also think the Bush years are over — thanks to the Tea Party and other conservative leaders, the right is no longer going to roll over for a Republican president inclined to do Progressive-Lite. I think Jonah is right that “a President Romney would be on a very short leash.”

I've said here a number of times since I foolishly played the primary endorsement game in 2008 that I don't think it's worth it — not for NR and not for writers of any ideological bent who try to write objectively about the campaign. But if Mitt wins the nomination, as seems very likely, I will enthusiastically support his candidacy.

For my friends who have hesitation on that score, I'd just ask you to keep four things in mind: Justice Scalia just turned 78, Justice Kennedy will turn 78 later this year, Justice Breyer will be 76 in August, and Justice Ginsburg turned 81 about a week ago. We wish them all well, of course, but the brute fact is that **whoever we elect as president in November is almost certainly going to choose at least one and maybe more new members of the Supreme Court — in addition to hundreds of other life-tenured federal judges, all of whom will be making momentous decisions about our lives for decades to come. If you don't think it matters whether the guy making those calls is Mitt Romney or Barack Obama, I think you're smokin' something funky.**

Which brings us back to Colonel Travis's point. The Supremes are about to hear the huge health-care case. How worried would you be about it if we had a Supreme Court whose last two justices, instead of being Sotomayor and Kagan, had been chosen by a guy getting advice from Bob Bork — by a guy beholden to the same conservatives whose near-mutiny forced President Bush to appoint Justice Sam Alito?

**To learn more, watch this YouTube video series: GO TO [TipOfTheSpear.net](http://www.tipofthespear.net) CLICK ON [What's REALLY in ObamaCare + Alternatives](#) in the IMPORTANT LINKS WHITE BOX**  
[http://www.tipofthespear.net/issues\\_health-care-vecchio.htm#vecchio](http://www.tipofthespear.net/issues_health-care-vecchio.htm#vecchio).

**Also learn about** [ObamaCare-Death-Panel-Formulas](#)

## >> TAX BREAKS FOR MILLIONAIRES???

Rocky Mountain Black Tea Party

<http://www.facebook.com/RMBTP>

## >> TAX BREAKS FOR MILLIONAIRES???

I wrote a newsletter a couple of weeks ago specifically because I was sick and tired of the same old lines being used on this Facebook by people either ignorant of the facts or choosing to ignore them. That newsletter was titled, "It's All the Republicans Fault" & thoroughly blew up the myth that President Obama's record is, ...well, ...less than stellar, because of an obstructionist (Republican) congress that refused to allow any of his policy initiatives to move forward.

(<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=416448975079406&set=a.196831133707859.50433.166792236711749&type=1&theater>)

Well **there are lots of oft-repeated lines out there, & this week I've decided to take down another one. "Romney (or Bush, take your pick) only want tax breaks for millionaires." It's on the internet, it's in your email, heck, it's even on television. So let's take a closer look at this accusation:**

Post 9/11, with a nation & economy reeling from the effects of the most deadly attack on U.S. soil in history, **George W. Bush ~ with bi-partisan support from congress ~ passed a tax package that lowered the amount U.S. citizens pay in federal income taxes (tax RATES), across the board.** ALL federal income taxpayers, not just the rich, migrated to lowered tax brackets.

The phrase "Bush tax cuts" refers to changes to the U.S. tax code passed via two bills:

**Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001**  
**Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003**

**These Acts, in-arguably, saved the United States (& possibly much of the rest of the world) from the economic meltdown Osama bin Laden referred to when he commented, "We will attack the prosperity of the west."** Following the attacks of Sept. 11, 2001, several massive sectors of the U.S. economy literally \*halted\*, over night. The airline industry STOPPED doing business. Period. Stopped. The ripple effect was massive. Companies associated with the production and delivery of jet fuel, in-flight meals companies, automobile rentals, the list goes on & on. The tourism industry & all the organizations in it - from mom & pop bread and breakfasts to snowmobile tours outfits to casino and amusement park operators - all took a massive hit. By some estimates a 70% drop in revenues for fiscal years 2001 and 02. With the absence of bold action, 9/11 had the potential to bring the U.S. economy to its knees.



Under President Bill Clinton tax brackets for a married couple filing jointly were:

Up to \$43,850 = 15%

\$43,851 to \$105,950 = 28%

\$105,951 to \$161,450 = 31%

\$161,451 to \$288,350 = 36%

\$288,351 + = 39.6%

**The “Bush tax cuts” lowered federal income tax brackets for a married couple filing jointly to:**

**\$14,000 = 10%**

**\$14,001 - \$56,800 = 15%**

**\$56,801 to \$114,650 = 25%**

**\$114,651 to \$174,700 = 28%**

**\$174,701 to \$311,950 = 33%**

**\$311,951 + = 35%**

**As anyone can see – ALL tax brackets went down. Not just for the rich. All.** (These are just married filing jointly, copying all filing status' would simply take too much space, but trust me, they all went down.) In addition, the Bush tax code lowered the income threshold beneath which citizens pay no income tax at all! In other words, he gave the poor an even bigger break.

**And there were components other than lowered brackets. For instance, the Bush tax cuts DOUBLED the dependent child credit amount. Doubled it! If you had/have a dependent child/children, your cash exemption for that child/those children doubled over night. The law wasn't written, “Only the wealthy qualify for this tax credit doubling”, it didn't say, “If you're rich you may double the amount you deduct for dependent children.” No, it was equally applied to ALL taxpayers ~ regardless of the tax bracket in which they dwelt.**

Now for Mitt Romney's plan. I realize a lot of people may never have actually read Gov. Romney's plan (though that doesn't stop them from complaining about it), but I have. The daily talk on our Facebook is about Romney's tax cuts for the rich. **Mitt Romney proposes a 20% tax cut in all tax brackets across the board** (assuming the current income brackets).

**Mitt Romney's Proposed Tax Plan:**

**From 10% to 8% \$0 to \$17,400**

**From 15% to 12% \$17,401 to \$70,700**

**From 25% to 20% \$70,701 to \$142,700**

**From 28% to 22.4% \$142,701 to \$217,450**

**From 33% to 26.4% \$217,451 to \$388,350**

**From 35% to 28% \$388,350+**

Read it for yourself. He is proposing a tax cut of 20% for everyone. For example: Last year 50% of all U.S. workers earned less than \$26,364 a year (the poverty level for a family of four is considered to be \$22,350 a year, which is a very low government assessment). **In round numbers, if someone earned \$26,364 a year under Mitt Romney, they would see their taxes reduced by \$791 ~ from \$3,954 to \$3,163 (before exemptions and deductions).**

Of course on a dollar basis those in higher brackets are going to be paying less in real dollars - because they've got more. 20% of \$400,000 is a larger number than 20% of \$40,000. That's how percentages work. But c'mon, it's been eleven years! **We've had the current tax code for more than a decade. At this point is it really the "Bush tax cuts" or is it the "Obama tax increases"?**

To learn more, watch this YouTube video series: GO TO [TipOfTheSpear.net](http://TipOfTheSpear.net) CLICK ON **What's REALLY in ObamaCare + Alternatives** in the IMPORTANT LINKS WHITE BOX  
[http://www.tipofthespear.net/issues\\_health-care-vecchio.htm#vecchio](http://www.tipofthespear.net/issues_health-care-vecchio.htm#vecchio).

Also learn about [ObamaCare-Death-Panel-Formulas](#)